

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/677,460	10/02/2003	Yue S. Zhang	3054.BDG	8490		
7590 03/24/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Charles W. Almer			CHEUNG, W	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
National Starch		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
10 Finderne Av Bridgewater, N		1713				
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$_{}$		
		Application No).	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/677,460		ZHANG ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		William K. Cheu	ıng	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	anuarv 2006.					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-33</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) <u>7,16-21 and 25-33</u> is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-15 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn t		า.			
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) old of drawing(s) be hele tion is required if the	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been red ts have been red ority documents h u (PCT Rule 17.	ceived. ceived in Applicati nave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge		
					*. (
Attachmen		, r] Intonious Surreit	/PTO 412\			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	2)		

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. The request filed on January 19, 2006 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/677,460 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-33 are pending. Claims 7, 16-21, and 25-33 are drawn to non-elected subject matter. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-15 and 22-24 are examined with merit.
- 3. In view of amendment filed January 19, 2006, the objection of claim 3 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, 12-15, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Levy (US Pat. 3,875,090) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 7 of the office action issued on September 26, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed January 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the amended claim 1 is now pertained to a one-part primer composition. Since Levy is silent on a one-part composition, the rejection set forth is now overcome. However, the examiner disagrees because Levy (col. 5, line 9-28) clearly teaches one of ordinary skill in art an embodiment of a one-part coating composition through the use of blocking-groups technology. After reading the disclosure of Levy, it would not be difficult for one of ordinary skill in art to appreciate the values of a one-part coating composition and would develop a one-part coating composition based on the two-part compositions that have already been disclosed.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy (US Pat. 3,875,090) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 8 of the office

action issued on September 26, 2005 and paragraph 6 of instant office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,460

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

William K. Cheung, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG DRIMARY EXAMINER

March 17, 2006